

STANDALONE POWER SYSTEMS

PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: TasNetworks

Submission Date: 4/4/2022

Table of Contents

- 1. Context 3
- 2. Questions..... 3
- 3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter..... 4

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the options detailed in the issues paper associated with the Standalone Power Systems consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO's Retail Electricity Market Procedures.

2. Questions

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.2	Are there other advantages/disadvantages of any of the options that AEMO should have considered?	TasNetworks believes AEMO has suitably identified the key advantages/disadvantages of the three options.
3.3.2	Is there another option for identifying a SAPS NMI that AEMO should consider? Why?	No, TasNetworks does not believe there is another option that provides any additional advantages than those already identified.
3.3.2	Which of the three options for identifying a SAPS NMI do you prefer and why?	TasNetworks preference is option 2 (TNI codes with special convention or format). TasNetworks see the primary benefits of this option being it does not require a schema change nor does it require participants to modify systems to cater for additional standing data fields.

Section	Description	Participant Comments
		It is suggested that the naming convention be structured in such a way that SAPS TNI's can be easily distinguishable from other TNI codes that are already in operation, relative to each region.

3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Participant Comments