RETAIL ELECTRICITY MARKET PROCEDURES OCTOBER 2021 CONSULTATION

PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

FIRST STAGE PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: Red Energy and Lumo Energy

Submission Date: 15/11/2021

Table of Contents

1.	Context	3
2.	Questions on proposed changes	3
3.	Feedback on proposed amendments	4
4.	Feedback on consolidations	4
5.	Meter Data File Format Specification (MDFF) NEM12 & NEM13	5
6.	B2B E-Hub Participant Accreditation and Revocation Process	5
7.	Metrology Procedure: Part A - National Electricity Market (Metrology Procedure: Part A)	6
8.	Standing Data for MSATS (Standing Data document)	6
9. Obli	MSATS Procedures: Consumer Administration and Transfer Solution (CATS) Procedure Principles and gation (MSATS Procedures: CATS)	6
10. (WIC	MSATS Procedures: Procedure for the Management of Wholesale, Interconnector, Generator and Sample (SS) NMIS (MSATS Procedures: WIGS)	e 6
11.	Metrology Procedure: Part B - National Electricity Market (Metrology Procedure: Part B)	7
12.	MSATS Procedures: (Meter Data Management) MDM Procedures	7
13.	NEM RoLR Processes Part A and Part B	7
1/1	Retail Flectricity Market Procedures - Glossary and Framework (Glossary/Framework)	Q

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the changes detailed in the initial draft procedures associated with the Retail Electricity Market Procedures October 2021 consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO's Retail Electricity Market Procedures and the following proposed changes by proponents and AEMO to implement recommended process improvements.

2. Questions on proposed changes

Heading	Participant Comments
Does your organisation support the proposals contained in the Issues Paper? If not, please specify areas in which your organisation disputes AEMO's assessment (include ICF reference number) of the proposal and include information that supports your rationale why you do not support AEMO's assessment.	Red Energy and Lumo Energy (Red and Lumo) support the proposals contained in the issues paper.
Are there better options to accommodate the change proposals that better achieve the required objectives? What are the pros and cons of these options? How would they be implemented?	In regards to ICF_037, Red and Lumo continue to see value in the Connection Configuration field being representative of both phases available and

etering Procedure Changes			
			well as being at
			the meter level
			instead of NMI, o
			a mix of the two.