Meeting Notes - B2B-WG

MEETING: Business-to-Business Working Group

DATE: Monday, 12 July 2021

9:30am-4:00pm TIME: LOCATION: Teleconference

MEETING #:

CONTACT b2bwg@aemo.com.au

ATTENDEES:

| Name | Company | |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| Meghan Bibby (Chair) | AEMO | |
| Michelle Norris | AEMO | |
| Nandu Datar | AEMO | |
| Kate Gordon | AEMO | |
| Brett Graham | Origin Energy | |
| Christophe Bechia | Red/Lumo | |
| David Woods | SA Power Networks | |
| Dino Ou | Endeavour Energy | |
| Helen Vassos | PLUS ES | |
| Mark Riley | AGL | |
| Paul Greenwood | VectorAMS | |
| Robert Lo Giudice | intelliHUB | |
| Shaun Cupitt | Alinta | |
| Justin Betlehem | AusNet | |
| Robert Mitchell | Energy Queensland | |
| Aakash Sembey | Simply Energy | |
| Wayne Farrell | Yurika | |

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

1.2 & 1.3 Acknowledgment and Apologies

Adrian Honey and Lenard Bull were noted as apologies.

1.4 Confirm agenda

The B2B-WG confirmed the agenda.

Action items from previous meeting and standing list of consultation items

Meghan Bibby (AEMO) noted that the following items were closed since the last meeting:

| Action Meeting Date | Description | Responsible | Date | Follow Up |
|---------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------|---|
| 2106-02 | Paul Greenwood will draft an ICF for potential future issues and share with the B2B-WG. Mark Riley offered to help with the ICF. | B2B-WG | Next Meeting | Draft ICFs produced Closed |
| 2106-03 | David Woods to contact Gareth Morrah regarding the MSDR Data Transition approach for the Shared Isolation Point Flag. | David Woods (SAPN) | Next meeting | Email sent closed |
| 2106-05 | AEMO to rework the questions related to coincident service orders across multiple service providers and recirculate, detail the issue at hand for people to discuss. | Meghan Bibby (AEMO) | Next meeting | Legal team provided advice on steps to take and move forward without involving ACCC closed |

Action: B2B WG to provide feedback on Paul Greenwood's ICFs in 2 weeks. AEMO to reissue the email with the due date.

The following actions remained open at the time of the meeting:

| Action Meeting Date | Description | Responsible | Date | Follow Up |
|---------------------------|---|--|-----------------|---|
| 2001-03 | AEMO to provide timeframes on B2B v3.5 changes as soon as they become available | Meghan Bibby (AEMO) | By circular | Indicative dates provided verbally and in meeting notes. Waiting on further information from AEMO IT. ASWG approved r41 and have published the schema. At this stage, the electricity B2B changes will be following the normal BAU approach of preproduction being available for the 4 weeks leading up to the 10 November. This is due to the demands 5MS is having on our resourcing including available environments to deploy the changes to. 12/7 – no further update, from last regulatory implementation road map, approach the IEC to seek feedback on moving B2B implementation date to 29 November 2022. |
| 2001-08 | B2B-WG members to look into other fields tied to an AS with an enumerated list and how to ensure they're kept up to date. | B2B-WG | Next meeting | Ongoing low-priority item. Mark Riley and David Woods to provide update at July meeting. 12/7 No further progress. next update at September 2021 meeting |
| 2001-09 | Mark Riley (AGL) and David Woods (SAPN) to map out the process of managing the respective lists and the Technical Specifications with respect to updating the ICF | Mark Riley (AGL), David Woods (SAPN) | Next meeting | Ongoing. Update to be provided offline or in future meeting. Mark Riley and David Woods have done further work. Update to be provided in June meeting. 12/7 next pass in few weeks |

| Action Meeting Date | Description | Responsible | Date | Follow Up |
|---------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | and accommodating for the ASWG change process. | | | |
| 2005-03 | B2B-WG to review the guide to refresh it (low priority) | B2B-WG | By circular | Mark Riley has done the first pass and some cleanup, not sure about DB processes. Mark to send to AEMO for sharing with B2B-WG 12/7 WG asked to send the feedback to Mark |
| 2106-01 | Send query about schema r41 and PIN enumerations and what happens if participants did not take up r41 to Lenard Bull. | Meghan Bibby (AEMO) | Next Meeting | 12/7 – Meghan to follow up on this |
| 2106-04 | Meghan Bibby to ask Lenard Bull about 'NOMW' transaction, if a new field is added to the NOMW does it trigger a new schema. | Meghan Bibby (AEMO) | Next meeting | 12/7 – Meghan to get back on this |
| 2106-06 | AEMO to rework the questions related to coincident service orders across multiple service providers and recirculate, detail the issue at hand for people to discuss. | Meghan Bibby (AEMO) | Next meeting | Depending on what the next steps for coincident SO across multiple service providers will depend on whether this item progresses or not |

| Issue | To be included in what B2B Procedures version? | Status | Notes |
|--------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | 2 - Requirements determined, | |
| Life Support | | content in development | Taken offline to see if changes |
| 'None' Issue | Next version | No progress | are required to B2B Guide. |

1.6 B2B Consultation

Meghan Bibby noted that B2B minor amendment version 3.6.2 final report and procedure changes were approved by the IEC and have been published on 8 July 2021.

Meghan Bibby also noted that AEMO testing of schema r41 is underway internally.

Action – Is n-1 still going ahead with the r41 release?

Answer – Yes, 'n' and 'n-1' are being supported for this release.

Action – If n-1, we've been advised that MXN cannot be taken out of the Batch Handler for n (r41). If a participant on r41 receives a MXN, can they ignore it or will they need to respond with a rejection as MXN is not valid for the procedures that r41 is based on?

Answer - participant can reject it because batch handler does not do any field specific validation and file will be still schema valid with MXN value.

Meghan Bibby also noted that initial stage of the B2B consultation version 3.7 has closed.

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION OR NOTING

2.1 Review of B2B Procedures v3.7 Consultation Initial Stage submissions – Meghan Bibby/Kate Gordon/ Nandu Datar (AEMO)

Discussion:

Kate Gordon stepped through the consolidated submissions.

Actions:

- AEMO to speak to legal about 2.3 question 7 related to PLUS ES and Vector's response
- AEMO to confirm if structured addresses in B2M can be set up the same way as B2B talk to IT to see if MSATS can conform – confirm Australian Standards and version address fields
- AEMO to confirm if postal address is a technical requirement validation on suburb and state

2.2 Coincident service orders and multiple service providers - Meghan Bibby/Michelle Norris (AEMO)

Discussion:

- Meghan Bibby (AEMO) spoke to the introduction slide and noted each member to share their thoughts.
- Each member provided their analysis of the issue.
- Michelle noted configuration can be accommodated but code change is difficult with all other work happening.
- Meghan spoke to the slide about retailer feedback to Endeavour's proposal and suggested the proposal does not proceed.
- Dino Ou (Endeavour) noted the proposal eliminates the issue so the retailers do not have to manage it. Queried if AEMO could collate retailers views. Meghan noted that given the concerns about competition law from retailers. It was also noted that there is a want to understand the size of the issue.

2.3 Victorian experience – physical to remote services - Meghan Bibby (AEMO)

Discussion:

- Meghan spoke to the slide and noted we are awaiting more data from other Vic DBs.
- Coincident service orders and multiple service providers suggested option. Meghan spoke to AusNet's proposal. Members discussed issues about the proposal. and noted outside Victoria, AusNet's proposed process has gaps. It was determined that AusNet's proposal would not achieve similar results to Vic.
- Throughout the discussions, questions have been raised about the size and timeline of the problem. Meghan asked if industry survey to be done. David Woods asked if from

IEC's perspective the size of the problem matters. Aakash highlighted understanding base data and outliers as well as understanding life support scenarios.

Actions:

• Meghan / Michelle to draft industry survey and run by the B2B WG to identify missing pieces. Industry survey results to provide enough details to IEC for decision making.

3 OTHER BUSINESS

3.1 Wrap-up, actions, questions, parked issues

Nandu Datar (AEMO) informed the B2B-WG of the actions generated at this meeting. These are reflected in the Action register. The next B2B-WG meeting is 23 August 2021.

Parked items: None.