STANDALONE POWER SYSTEMS

PROCEDURE CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: SA Power Networks

Submission Date: 5 April 2022

Table of Contents

1.	Context	.3
2.	Questions	.3
3.	Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter	.4

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the options detailed in the issues paper associated with the Standalone Power Systems consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO's Retail Electricity Market Procedures.

2. Questions

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.2	Are there other advantages/disadvantages of any of the options that AEMO should have considered?	SA Power Networks assessment of the 3 options is as follows – Option 1 – adds complexitiy to the TNI field that is not warranted, therefore, we
		do not support this option.
		Option 2 – this is a viable option given the TNI field is not required by AEMO for settlements purpose. This opition is a lowest cost solution to implement.
		Option 3 – this is rated as the best solution option given it provides a unique SAPS field. This is the highest cost solution to implement, therefore, SAPS volumes need to be significant enough to warrant the addition costs.
3.3.2	Is there another option for identifying a SAPS NMI that AEMO should consider? Why?	SA Power Networks has not identified any other options.

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.2	Which of the three options for identifying a SAPS NMI do you prefer and why?	 SA Power Networks prefer Option 2 as this is the lowest cost solution to implement and we currently do not forecast large volumes of SAPS within our network. If industry collectively determine that Option 3 is the preferred option, SA Power Networks would be comfortable with this approach.

3. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Participant Comments	
SA Power Networks has not identified any further issues at this point.	