METERING ICF PACKAGE CHANGES

PROCEDURE CONSULTATION

SECOND STAGE PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: AusNet Services

Submission Date: 23/10/2020

Table of Contents

1.	Context	3
2.	MSATS Procedures: CATS (v4.94)	3
3.	MSATS Procedures: WIGS (v4.94)	4
4.	Metrology Procedure: Part A (v7.31)	5
5.	Metrology Procedure: Part B (v7.03)	5
6.	Service Level Procedure Meter Provider Services (v1.5)	6
7.	NEM RoLR Processes Part A and Part B (v2.1)	7
8.	Meter Data File Format Specification (v2.2)	8
9.	Standing Data for MSATS (v4.51)	8
10.	Retail Electricity Market Procedures – Glossary and Framework (v3.41)	9
11	Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter	q

1. Context

This template is to assist stakeholders in giving feedback about the changes detailed in the initial draft procedures associated with the Metering ICF Package Changes consultation.

The changes being proposed are because of NER rule changes which have occurred requiring changes to AEMO's Retail Electricity Market Procedures and the following proposed changes by proponents and AEMO to implement recommended process improvements.

2. MSATS Procedures: CATS (v4.94)

Section	Description	Participant Comments
2.4.(s)	Updated to add text clarifying the relationship mapping	No issue
2.7	Updated to fix the issue with reference link	No issue
2.9.(k)	Updated to fix the issue with grammer	No issue
4.4 Table 4-D	Updated to reflect the current jurisdictional requirements and definitions of Small and Large customers. AEMO also seeks responses to the following questions: 1. What other improvements could be	1. No comment 2. The current >=160Mwh threshold (Small to Large) has been used for a long time and entrenched in our systems to trigger that the NMI has moved from 'Small" to "Large" classification, which necessitates the upgrade in metering
	made to Table 4-D?What might be any benefits/detriments of the proposed changes to Table 4-D noting that the MWh descriptions for	from Type 5 (VICAMI) to Type 4 for a residential customer who now exceeds the threshold (they may still not be considered a "Business", just a large residential). Therefore what triggers should be used under the change in physical metering installations where a small residential customer exceeds their

Section	Description	Participant Comments
	small customers relate to business customers, but not residential customers, for whom the corresponding description is "any MWh", across "all" jurisdictions?	current meter capability?3. No inconsistencies found4. No comment.
	3. What is the nature of any inconsistencies which may exist?	5. Changes to our systems to revise CATS processing around objections would need to be revised.
	What consequential changes are necessary to the Code Information?	
	5. What, if any, are the unintended consequences of the proposed changes?	
12.6.3	Updated to fix the issue with reference link	No issue

3. MSATS Procedures: WIGS (v4.94)

Section	Description	Participant Comments
Version	Updated to align version numbering with MSATS: CATS procedures	No issue

4. Metrology Procedure: Part A (v7.31)

Section	Description	Participant Comments
12.5	Reverted wording from 'Validation' to 'Verification' throughtout the clause	Agree with reversion of wording.
12.6	Amended the wording from 'Validation' to 'Verification' throughtout the clause	Agree with reversion of wording.

5. Metrology Procedure: Part B (v7.03)

Section	Description	Participant Comments
9	Amended the wording from 'Validation' to 'Verification' throughtout the clause	Agree with reversion of wording.

6. Service Level Procedure Meter Provider Services (v1.5)

Section	Description	Participant Comments
4.2	Amended the wording from 'Validation' to 'Verification'	Agree with change to "Verification"
4.2.(a)(ii)	Amended the wording from 'Validated' to 'Verified'	Agree with change to "Verified"
4.2.(a)(iii)	Reverted wording from 'Validated' to 'Verified'	Agree with change to "Verified"
4.2.(b)	Reverted wording from 'Validated' to 'Verified'	Agree with change to "Verified"
4.2.(c)	Amended the wording from 'Validation' to 'Verification' and 'Validated' to 'Verified'	Agree with change
4.2.(c)(ii)	Reverted wording from 'Validate' to 'Verify'	Agree with reversion to "verify".
4.2.(d)	Reverted wording from 'Validate' to 'Verify'	Agree with reversion to "verify".
4.4.(e)	Amended 'New MDP' to 'MDP'	No issue.

7. NEM RoLR Processes Part A and Part B (v2.1)

Section	Description	Participant Comments
11.2.(h)(v)	Removed reference to Table 10-B, Table 10-C and Table 10-D	No issue
11.2.(i)	Amended to remove obligation requirement of section 11.2(k)	No issue
11.2.(j)	Amended section reference from 11.2(k) to 11.2(j)	No issue
11.2.(k)	Amended to reflect compliance requirement with section 11.2(i)	No issue
13.3.(k)	Amended to remove reference to section 13.3(m)	No issue
13.3.(I)	Amended section reference from 13.3(I) to 13.3 (k)	No issue
17.2.(c)	Further amendment to replace 'inactivate' with 'deactivate'	No issue

8. Meter Data File Format Specification (v2.2)

Section	Description	Participant Comments
3.3.1.(b)	Amended to only remove reference to 'N'	No issue

9. Standing Data for MSATS (v4.51)

Section	Description	Participant Comments
8 Table 7	Amended description of Data Element 'AveragedDailyLoad'	No issue
9 Table 8	Amended description of Data Element 'Suffix'	Agree with change.

10. Retail Electricity Market Procedures – Glossary and Framework (v3.41)

Section	Description	Participant Comments
5	Amended definition of 'Validation'	Agree with definition change
5	Added new definition for 'Verification'	Agree with definition change
5	Amended definition of 'VICAMI Meter'	Agree with definition change

11. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

Participant Comments	
No further comment.	