B2B Procedures

- Customer and Site Details (version change)
- Service Order
- Meter Data
- One Way Notification
- Technical Delivery Specification

CONSULTATION - Second Draft Report

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE

Participant: Origin Energy

Completion Date: 27 May 2020

Table of Contents

| 0. | Example Submission (Please delete this section) | 3 |
|----|---|---|
| 1. | One Way Notification Process | 4 |
| 2. | General Comments | 5 |

0. Example Submission (Please delete this section)

General Instructions

- 1. Please keep information in the clause numbers simple eq no titles, comments etc. put titles and text in the comment section.
- 2. Please use a individual row for each comment on any each clauses.
- 3. Old clauses only needed if there is no equivalent clause within the revised draft procedures.
- 4. If an obligation exists in another instrument please identify the instrument and clause to assist in including guidance notes.
- 5. Please only include comments either with suggested changes, issues or support. Please do not include 'No Comment'.
- 6. See example below (please note the "comments" are sample only, they bear no relevance to the proposed changes):

| Participant Name | Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|---|
| | 1.42(a) | 2.15(a) | Service Order response |
| | | | Change response list from varchar(250) to an enumerated list |
| | 1.42(a) | 2.15(a) | Suggest add 'Other' as part of enumerated list and add free text to support other |
| | | 2.25(a)(ii) | Table 5 |
| | | | "Description of use" should be reworded to "Description of typical use" |
| | | 3.6(a) | The MDP SLP (c 3.5.2) requires the meter serial ID to be provided. |
| | | | Suggest the MeterSerialID be added to the transaction. |
| | | 3.6(a) | Ensure MeterserialID is the same field used in other procedures |
| | | 2.15 | Ensure character length for MeterSerialID matches MSATS field length |

1. One Way Notification Process

| Participant Name | Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| | | | |

Second Draft Report Question

Given a majority of respondents to the First Draft Report indicated a preference towards enhancement of the PIN to replace the MXN, drafting of it has been provided. With this drafting in mind, are there any further enhancements or changes to the PIN that you would suggest? If so, what?

| Participant Name | Question No | Comments |
|------------------|-------------|---|
| Origin Energy | 1 | Origin requests as the Planned Interruption Notification is replacing the Metere Exchange Notification to include the fields <u>NOTBEFORE</u> and <u>NOTAFTER</u> (currently an optional field in the MXN). This is to ensure that the Meter Provider can schedule to a target date +/- 2 days if required. Retailers can then maintain compliance under NERR 59A for a Retailer led deployment and ensure the customer experience is maintained for the agreed scenario where a window for exchange is across multiple days. |
| | | The existing field <u>STARTDATE</u> should be used for the expected date of the works. This would remain the target date for opt-out window notifications and timing requirements for customer correspondence for the Retailer deployment per clause 59A of the NERR. |
| | | The <u>NOTBEFORE</u> and <u>NOTAFTER</u> is then utilised for the 5 day window where the customer has consented per clause 59C of the NERR. |

2. General Comments

| Participant Name | Document/Section | Clause No | Comments |
|------------------|---|--|---|
| Origin Energy | Technical Delivery Specification v3.4/5 Table 1.6 – Terminology | Table 1.6 (Term – MB & Definition) & 5.8 (Size of aseXML Messages) | In light of the proposed 5 Minute Settlement program deferral, Origin Energy request AEMO to give consideration to re-align dates of the procedures as these changes would be effective prior to go-live. There is a risk that if some participants go early with these changes and other participants do not then it may cause system and operational instability. |
| Origin Energy | B2B Guide v1.5 | Table 1 | The Purpose of the Planned Interruption Notification is to inform a DNSP about a planned interruption on the Network, Initiator RB or MC and Recipient as DNSP. With the changes proposed in version 3.5 of the One Way Notification Procedure the following changes need to be made: |
| | | | Informs a DNSP, RB or MC about a planned interruption on the Network. Initator needs to change to RB, MC or MPB Recipient to DNSP, RB or MC. MXN can also now be removed from the table. |
| Origin Energy | B2B Guide v1.5 | Figure 3 | Meter Reconfiguration with purpose 'Bidirectional Flows at Premise' should be Y as very common. |
| Origin Energy | B2B Guide v1.5 | 6.5.1.1 | As this section refers to Meter Exchange Notification, suggestion is to remove from section 6.5.1 and amend the reference numbers of subsequent Notifications. |
| Origin Energy | B2B Guide v1.5 | 6.5.1.3 | Wording for Planned Interruption Notification needs to be amended to align with v3.5 of the One Way Notification Process. |

B2B Procedures

| Participant Name | Document/Section | Clause No | Comments |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------|---|
| Origin Energy | B2B Guide v1.5 7.3.3 | | Wording for Planned Interruption Notification needs to be amended to align with v3.5 of the One Way Notification Process. |