B2B Procedures

- Customer and Site Details (version change)
- Service Order
- Meter Data (version change)
- One Way Notification
- Technical Delivery Specification

CONSULTATION - First Stage

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: Evoenergy

Completion Date:

https://www.aemo.com.au/Stakeholder-Consultation/Consultations/B2B-Procedures-v3-4-Consultation?Convenor=AEMO%20NEM

Table of Contents

| 0. | Example Submission (Please delete this section) | Error! Bookmark not defined |
|----|---|-----------------------------|
| 1. | Service Order Process | 5 |
| 2. | One Way Notification Process | 7 |
| 3. | Technical Delivery Specification | |

1. Change Pack questions

1.

| Clause | Question | | |
|------------------------|----------|---|--|
| 5.1.1 | 1. | Yes | |
| | 2. | No | |
| 5.1.2 | 3. | Other – support the change but it must be clear that this SORD type means customers site no longer has a service cable to their premise and the meter is removed. SORD type has been used incorrectly in the past, with customers just doing renovations | |
| | | on the premise and needed to install a new switchboard, old meters removed with old switchboard and new not yet ready, LNSP received an abolishment from retailer. LNSP made NMI Extinct, only to find the next day new meters installed with new MP. | |
| 5.1.3 | 4. | Yes | |
| 5.2 | 5. | No | |
| | 6. | Option 1 | |
| | 7. | N/A | |
| 5.3 | 8. | Restrict to MTRD only, then all good | |
| | 9. | No | |
| | 10. | Yes | |
| 11. organisations this | | There are a number of changes coming into effect over the next 2 years and in smaller organisations this often calls on the same resources. Also, these changes were not budgeted when seeking the relevant regulated approvals from the AER. | |

| Clause | Question | |
|--------|----------|--|
| | 12. | Wait until there is another market change which has schema changes and bundles the changes together. |
| 6.1 | 13. | November 2021 |
| 6.2 | 14. | No |
| | 15. | Should bundle this into the Standing Data Review |
| | 16. | Meter register and register identifier mismatch with mdff data; NMI status and Data stream status |
| | 17. | MPB, LNSP, MDP |
| | 18. | Unknown as number of emails received about standing data not measured |
| | 19. | Need to clarify each field so that each participants expectations of what to build to and send is not disputable. Examples: mdff meter serial number must match meter serial ID; UOM in mdff and msats should be same; controlled load values defined as Yes or No for basics (NTC will define contolled load type and times, other defined values for COMMS; define time of day |
| | 20. | In a new stand alone procedure as it has a different purpose to other transactions |
| | 21. | The introduction of a VSDRs is not addressing the root cause of the data discrepancy issue, which appears to be different interpretations of the procedures and meaning of standing data. |

2. Service Order Process

| Participant Name | Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
|---------------------|---|---------------|---|
| Evoenergy | 2.1 Table 3 Supply Service Works – Supply Abolishment | | Need to add to the Description further words for the ACT jurisdiction, and reword entire Description. "In the ACT, this Service Order Sub Type is not used. Paperwork is required to be submitted directly by the Customer or the Customers Representative to the DNSP to remove the service line/cable. In NSW, this Service Order Sub Type is used by the DNSP to make the NMI status Extinct in MSATS, as the service line/cable has been confirmed as already removed. In other jurisdictions, this Service Order Sub Type is used by the DNSP to remove the service line/cable as supply is no longer required at the premises. This involves decommissioning a NMI." In the Description of use, need to add the following words to make sure that the service line/cable is/will be removed and Initiator is clear on end result. Add into first sentence: "For example, where the proposed/actioned removal of the service line/cable is confirmed with the Initiator for a demolition" |
| Evoenergy | 3.3.3 Table 12 Re-energisation (a) | | In (a) should this read "within 1 or 2 for business days |

| Participant Name | Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Evoenergy | 4.1 Table 13 | Escalation | Need to reword last part of Definition as it is not clear. Reword to: Where "Other" is used, mandatory for SpecialInstructions to contain a code that is agreed between the Initiator and Recipient e.g. [PRIORITY=abc] |

3. One Way Notification Process

| Participant Name | Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---|
| Evoenergy | 2.1.1 (a) | | If this transaction is to remain, then allow parties to agree to not get MFIN, but prefer this when there is a large volume of information to pass. Suggest add extra wording: "for planned mass meter replacements where agreed between the two parties." |
| Evoenergy | 4.1.3 | Table | Clarify that this transaction is to remain or used bilaterally only. |
| Evoenergy | 4.2.3 | Table 8 | No comment |

4. Technical Delivery Specification

| Participant Name | Old Clause No | New Clause No | Comments |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Evoenergy | 5.4.1.(c) | | Refence says 1.1.1.1 but does not exist. |
| Evoenergy | | | |
| Evoenergy | | | |
| Evoenergy | 5.4.3.1.4. | | Agree |
| Evoenergy | 5.8. | | No comments |
| Evoenergy | 6.4.1.1.(c) | | Link to Figure 2 takes you to Figure 3 |
| | | | Figure 2Error! Reference source not found. |
| Evoenergy | 6.4.1.1.(d) | | Refence says 1.1.1.1 but does not exist. |