B2B Procedures

- Customer and Site Details (version change)
- Service Order
- Meter Data (version change)
- One Way Notification
- Technical Delivery Specification

CONSULTATION - First Stage

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: Tango Energy

Completion Date: 9 January 2020

Table of Contents

1.	Service Order Process	5
2.	One Way Notification Process	8
3.	Technical Delivery Specification	<u>c</u>

Question 1: Do you support the changes detailed in section 5.1.1? (Answer should be one of "Yes" / "No – provide reason" / "Other – provide reason")

Response: Tango Energy supports the changes detailed in section 5.1.1 believing the changes will assist with our business processes.

Question 2: Are there additional enumerated fields whose addition to the Metering Service Works SO the IEC should consider? Please detail them.

Response: Not at this time.

Question 3: Do you support the changes detailed in section 5.1.2? (Answer should be one of "Yes" / "No" / "Other – provide reason")

Response: Yes.

Question 4: Do you support the changes detailed in section 5.1.3? (Answer should be one of "Yes" / "No – provide reason" / "Other – provide reason")

Response: Yes.

Question 5: Given that the MFIN, which is XML-based, can be used for the same purpose as the MXN and avoids the issue related to partial acceptance of the MXN, do participants support the continued usage of the CSV-based MXN?

Response: Tango Energy supports retiring the CSV-based MXN transaction.

Question 6: If the MXN were to be retired, would your organisation prefer Option 1 or Option 2 as presented above?

Response: Option 1 - Retire the MXN as part of the updating of the MFIN. This would mean that there would be no need for the proposed changes to the MXN.

Question 7: If the MXN were to be retired, what would be the appropriate timeframe in which to retire it?

Response: Retire the MXN at part of the next B2B implementation currently proposed for December 2020.

Question 8: Will a 10 MB maximum file size for MTRD transactions cause substantial problems for your organisation?

Response: No.

Question 9: Does limiting the number of transactions within the MTRD group mitigate the potential problems caused by an increased maximum file size?

Response: Yes.

Question 10: Is the volume limit of 1000 transactions per file appropriate for the PMD and VMD transactions?

Response: Yes, the transaction volume of 1000 per file for PMD, VMD and MDN is appropriate.

1. Service Order Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Tango Energy	Table 3 Service Order Types and Sub Types	Table 3 Service Order Types and Sub Types	Suggest the following rewording – In NSW, the DNSP is requested to make the NMI extinct in MSATS as the service line / cable has been confirmed to be already removed. In all other jurisdictions, the DNSP is requested to remove the service line/cable as <i>supply</i> is no longer required at Site. This involves decommissioning a site.

Tango Energy	4.1 ServiceOrderRequest	4.1 ServiceOrderRequest	RegClassification – Change 'Use' to 'Used'
	Transaction Data	Transaction Data	PurposeForVisit - Change 'Use' to 'Used' and change the wording to:
			allowable values will be are provided in an enumerated list:
			CustomeAgreedStartDate - Suggest the following rewording: The earliest date for the provision of the service as agreed between the initiator and customer.
			CustomerAgreedEndDate - Suggest the following rewording: The last date for the provision of the service as agreed between the initiator and customer. Where the initiator and customer have agreed to a fixed date, the CustomeAgreedStartDate and CustomerAgreedEndDate will be the same date.
			CustomerNotificationMethod - Suggest the following rewording: This is the method by which the notice of interruption to the customer is to be delivered. This is used when the recipient is to issue the notice on behalf of the initiator. Allowable values are: • Post • E-mail • SMS • Waiver • Phone
			Escalation - Suggest the following rewording: Used to indicate the Service Order is to be prioritised over other Service Orders. Imitator and Recipient must agree the circumstances and indicator to be used. Allowable values are:

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
			 Normal (default) Ombudsman VIP No Supply Other Where Other is used, Special instruction should contain a code that is agreed between the Initiator and recipient e.g. [PRIORITY=abc]
			ExemptionCode – Suggest the following rewording: Used to communicate, to the MPB, the malfunction exemption
Tango Energy	4.2 ServiceOrderResponse Transaction Data	4.2 ServiceOrderResponse Transaction Data	code allocated to the MC by AEMO. Remove AO = Agreement Only (May be provided with agreement of the Recipient. If provided without agreement may be ignored) as only M, R, O and N apply to data in the ServiceOrderResponse.
Tango Energy	4.3 BusinessAcceptance/Rejection Transaction Data	4.3 BusinessAcceptance/Rejection Transaction Data	Remove AO = Agreement Only (May be provided with agreement of the Recipient. If provided without agreement may be ignored) R = Required (if this information is available or has changed)
			N = Not relevant (not to be provided) as only M and O apply to data in the BusinessAcceptance/Rejection Transaction.

2. One Way Notification Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Tango Energy			No Comment

3. Technical Delivery Specification

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Tango Energy			No Comment