B2B Procedures

- Customer and Site Details (version change)
- Service Order
- Meter Data
- One Way Notification
- Technical Delivery Specification
- B2B Guide

CONSULTATION – Draft Report

CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: *CitiPower Powercor*

Completion Date: *11/03/20*

Table of Contents

0.   Example Submission (Please delete this section)

General Instructions

1. *Please keep information in the clause numbers simple - eg no titles, comments etc. – put titles and text in the comment section.*

2. *Please use a individual row for each comment on any each clauses.*

3. *Old clauses only needed if there is no equivalent clause within the revised draft procedures.*

4. *If an obligation exists in another instrument please identify the instrument and clause to assist in including guidance notes.*

5. *Please only include comments either with suggested changes, issues or support. Please do not include 'No Comment'.*

6. *See example below (please note the "comments" are sample only, they bear no relevance to the proposed changes):*

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
	1.42(a)	2.15(a)	Service Order response Change response list from varchar(250) to an enumerated list
	1.42(a)	2.15(a)	Suggest add 'Other' as part of enumerated list and add free text to support other
		2.25(a)(ii)	Table 5 "Description of use" should be reworded to "Description of typical use"
		3.6(a)	The MDP SLP (c 3.5.2) requires the meter serial ID to be provided. Suggest the MeterSerialID be added to the transaction.
		3.6(a)	Ensure MeterserialID is the same field used in other procedures
		2.15	Ensure character length for MeterSerialID matches MSATS field length

1. Service Order Process.

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments

Metering Service Works

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments

Supply Abolishment

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments

Allocate NMI

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments

2. Meter Data Process

Reference No	Question No	Respondent Name	Comments	IEC Response
	1		Although CitiPower Powercor would have limited need for its use, it supports the MFIN transaction being used for update of a meter exchange.	
			CitiPower Powercor recommends consistent use of NCONUML, i.e. the document history calls it NCONUML and the update in section 2.4.3 calls it Unmetered Device Market Loads. We recommend using either '*NCONUML*' as per and MSATS Procedures or '*non-contestable unmetered load*' as per Metrology Part A & B and the NER. Additionally, NCONUML is currently not defined in the Glossary and Framework, we recommend it be included.	

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments

3.   One Way Notification Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments

4. Technical Delivery Specification

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments

5. B2B Guide

CitiPower Powercor recommends that references to the MXN transaction be removed from the Guide.