### 13 September 2019



Information Exchange Committee C/O IEC Secretariat Australian Energy Market Operator GPO Box 2008 Melbourne VICTORIA 3001

NEM.Retailprocedureconsultations@aemo.com.au

Dear Sir/Madam

#### **Energy Queensland submission to the Q3 2019 B2B Procedures Consultation**

Energy Queensland Limited (Energy Queensland) welcomes the opportunity to provide comment to the Information Exchange Committee on proposed changes to the B2B Procedures.

Energy Queensland's feedback on the proposed changes is contained in the attached response table.

Should AEMO require additional information or wish to discuss any aspect of Energy Queensland's submission, please contact me on (07) 3851 6787 or Peter Wall on (07) 3664 4968.

Yours sincerely

Trudy Fraser

**Manager Policy and Regulatory Reform** 

Telephone: (07) 3851 6787

Email: trudy.fraser@energyq.com.au

Encl: Energy Queensland submission on the proposed changes

### **B2B** Procedures

- Customer and Site Details
- Service Orders
- Meter Data (version change)
- One Way Notification
- Technical Delivery Specification (version change)

## CONSULTATION - First Stage

# CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Participant: Energy Queensland

Completion Date: 13 September 2019

### **Table of Contents**

1.	Customer and Site Details Process	. 3
2.	One Way Notification Process	. 4
3	Service Order Process	-

### 1. Customer and Site Details Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Energy Queensland	5.5	5.5	Energy Queensland supports the proposed change.

## 2. One Way Notification Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments
Energy Queensland	4.2.4 Table 9	4.2.4 Table 9	Energy Queensland seeks clarity on whether the codes NOREAD041 and NOREAD061 are the only codes that can be used in these circumstances.  Further, the proposed new text states:  "recipient must not reject the transaction on the basis of this field when one of the above codes is provided".  However, if field is not populated (i.e. the code is not provided), is it appropriate for the DNSP to reject the transaction?

### 3. Service Order Process

Participant Name	Old Clause No	New Clause No	Comments