

INITIAL CONSULTATION – PARTICIPANT RESPONSE PACK

MSATS PROCEDURES:

Consumer Administration and Transfer Solution (CATS) Procedure Principles and Obligations Version 4.0

Procedure for the Management of Wholesale, Interconnector, Generator and Sample (WIGS) NMIs Version 4.0

Participant: [insert name here] Energex Ltd

Completion Date: 13th December 2013

1. Participant Responses

- Section A covers the proposed changes to the CATS Procedure Version 3.87
- Section B covers the proposed changes to the WIGS Procedure Version 3.87

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

A. Proposed Changes to the CATS and WIGS Procedures

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		
4.1.1	003	Note (2) is not required as it is not referenced in this table	Remove Note (2)	<u>M</u>	
4.1.4	005	Table 44-C – Datastream Type – why not include similar wording to Register Status ie Why include "Refer to 'Standing data for MSATS' document for further details" against the Datastream Type when this reference could be included on other items in this table eg Meter Status, Register Status,	A single character code to denote the datastream type.	<u>M</u>	

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

MSATS_Procedures_v4_0_Participant_Response_Pack_Energex

Page 2 of 4

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
		Stream Status etc. Perhaps an overarching comment should be made within the clause			
4.1.5 4.1.6 4.1.7 4.1.8	<u>00</u> 7	Need confirmation that this is currently not possibly anyway so therefore this is a minor manifest change more than anything else?			

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Not Bold

B. Proposed Changes to the WIGS Procedure

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		
4.2.1	003	Note (2) is not required as it is not referenced in this table	Remove Note (2)	<u>M</u>	

² L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.