# METERING COMPETITION EMBEDDED NETWORKS METER REPLACEMENT PROCESSES

# PROCEDURE CONSULTATION PARTICIPANT RESPONSE PACK

Participant: Ergon Energy Corporation Limited

Completion Date: 2 June 2016

# **Table of Contents**

| 1.  | Glossary and Framework  |
|-----|---|
| 2.  | Meter Data File Format  |
| 3.  | Metrology Procedure: Part A5  |
| 4.  | Metrology Procedure: Part B12   |
| 5.  | MSATS Procedures: CATS Procedure Principles and Obligations   |
| 6.  | MSATS Procedures: MDM Procedures  |
|     | MSATS Procedures: Procedure for the Management of Wholesale, Interconnector, Generator and Sample<br>GS) NMIs14 |
| 8.  | NEM RoLR Process Part A and B – MSATS Procedure: RoLR Procedures14  |
| 9.  | NMI Standing Data Schedule  |
| 10. | Service Level Procedures for MDP15  |
| 11. | Service Level Procedures for MP15   |
| 12. | Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter19   |

# **1. Glossary and Framework**

| Clause    | Heading  | Participant Comments  |                   |                             |
|-----------|----------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Clause    | Treading | Metering Competition  | Embedded Networks | Meter Replacement Processes |
| Chapter 3 | Glossary | Average Daily Load (ADL)<br>The definition of "metering<br>installation" states that it<br>"may include a combination of<br>several metering points to<br>derive the metering data for a<br>connection point". Therefore,<br>the ADL refers to a connection<br>point that may contain one or<br>more metering points.<br>Ergon Energy therefore |                   |                             |
|           |          | considers the definition of ADL<br>should only include<br>connection point and not "or<br>metering point".  |                   |                             |

#### 2. Meter Data File Format

| Clause     | Usedias       | Participant Comments   |                   |                             |
|------------|---------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Clause     | Heading       | Metering Competition   | Embedded Networks | Meter Replacement Processes |
| APPENDIX C | QUALITY FLAGS | The "Meaning of Quality Flag"<br>column has been removed.<br>This should be reinstated as it<br>provides important<br>information. |                   |                             |

# 3. Metrology Procedure: Part A

| Clause | Heading                               | Participant Comments   |                   |                             |  |
|--------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Clause | Treating                              | Metering Competition   | Embedded Networks | Meter Replacement Processes |  |
| 3      | RESPONSIBILITY FOR METER<br>PROVISION | Heading should be "METERING" not<br>"METER".<br>The MP does not provide all of the<br>components of a metering<br>installation now (e.g. instrument<br>transformers) and in the future may<br>not even provide or own meters.<br>Consequently this section should<br>reflect the broader term "metering".      |                   |                             |  |
| 3.1    | Overall requirements                  | Further clarification is required<br>regarding statement that 'MCs must<br>use MPs to <u>provide</u> , install and<br>maintain' relevant components.<br>Whilst it is existing wording, it often<br>creates contention especially in HV<br>installations where ownership of<br>plant is agreed between the LNSP |                   |                             |  |

|     |                          | and customer as part of the           |  |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
|     |                          | connection point agreement, often     |  |
|     |                          | months/years before FRMP is           |  |
|     |                          | appointed and MC/RP is known.         |  |
|     |                          |                                       |  |
|     |                          | In addition, in some jurisdictions LV |  |
|     |                          | CTs are supplied by the distributor.  |  |
|     |                          | Considering these issues, Ergon       |  |
|     |                          | Energy suggests the                   |  |
|     |                          | abovementioned statement be           |  |
|     |                          | reworded as follows:                  |  |
|     |                          | reworded as follows.                  |  |
|     |                          | 'MCs and MPs must ensure              |  |
|     |                          | 'provision is made' for components'   |  |
|     |                          | and that they are properly selected,  |  |
|     |                          | rather than MPs must 'provide'.       |  |
|     |                          |                                       |  |
| 4.2 | Use of optical ports and | For clarity, Ergon Energy suggests    |  |
| 1.2 | pulse outputs            | that the second paragraph be          |  |
|     | puise outputs            | moved to become the first             |  |
|     |                          |                                       |  |
|     |                          | paragraph, and commence with the      |  |
|     |                          | bolded wording below:                 |  |
|     |                          | "Where requested by a FRMP, the       |  |
|     |                          | MC must provide pulse output          |  |
|     |                          | facilities representing theetc."      |  |
|     |                          | (i.e. remove same wording from end    |  |
|     |                          | of paragraph).                        |  |
|     |                          |                                       |  |

|   | Additionally Ergon Energy<br>recommends the inclusion of new<br>heading after third paragraph:<br>"Optical Ports"  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 4.4 "x" values – calculation and<br>use | In accordance with the NER rule<br>7.8.3 any new and replacement<br>metering installation for a <u>small</u><br><u>customer</u> must have type 4<br>metering, subject to the provisions<br>of rule 7.8.4, which stipulate when a<br>Type 4A metering installation is<br>required.<br>The value of 'x' in clause 4.4 is stated<br>as the threshold for Type 5 meter<br>installations in Queensland (OMWh /<br>annum). However notwithstanding<br>the reference in clause 4.4 being to<br>Type 5 installations only, S7.4.3 of<br>the NER also refers to 'x' as the<br>volume limit per annum per<br>connection point for a Type 4A<br>metering installation. As such Ergon<br>Energy notes that the Metrology<br>Procedure Part A will need to be<br>updated to reflect the jurisdictional<br>thresholds as they are determined<br>by relevant Ministers. |  |

| 4.5 | "y" values – calculation and<br>use | Following above comments from 4.4,<br>Ergon Energy seeks confirmation<br>from AEMO on the appropriate<br>treatment of Large Queensland Non-<br>Market Customers >100MWh to<br><750MWh. Specifically, there does<br>not appear to be an obligation on<br>Ergon Energy to install new and<br>replacement meters as Type 4 in line<br>with NER 7.8.3, unless consumption<br>moves >750MWh or <100MWh. |  |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 5.3 | Technical Requirements              | To ensure polyphase meters capture<br>total premise load within a single<br>trading interval, Ergon Energy<br>recommends the wording of this<br>clause be amended as follows:  |  |
|     |                                     | "Where a poly-phase <i>metering</i><br>device is installed within a <i>metering</i><br><i>installation</i> , the <i>metering installation</i><br>must be capable of recording and<br>providing the average voltage and<br>current over a nominated <i>trading</i><br><i>interval</i> for one or more nominated<br><i>trading intervals</i> , for each all<br>connected phases."                    |  |
| 7   | EMBEDDED NETWORKS                   | Ergon Energy recommends The term<br>Child "Metering Point" be changed<br>to "Connection Point" as the child  |  |

|    |   | could have one or more Metering<br>Points at the Connection Point.   |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 8  | REVERSION OF METERING<br>INSTALLATION TYPES                                     | Item (2) in the Queensland section<br>will not apply from December 2017<br>and should be removed. (i.e. "The<br>MC may convert a remotely read<br>Interval Meter to a manually read<br>Interval Meter if the consumption<br>drops below 100MWh per annum.")  |  |
| 10 | INSTALLATION OF METER(S)  | In table section (b), the Queensland<br>jurisdictional requirement applies to<br>all metering installation types, not<br>just type 4 or 4A.<br>Recommend "type 4 or 4A" be<br>deleted so that this section extends<br>to cover all metering installations,<br>including type 5 and 6 which would<br>not be covered by the proposed<br>wording. |  |
| 12 | DE-COMMISSIONING AND<br>REMOVAL OF METERING<br>EQUIPMENT AND NETWORK<br>DEVICES | Clarity needs to be provided to<br>ensure the <b>incoming</b> MP has<br>accountability for the final reads. For<br>example, as bolded below:<br>"Before De-commissioning all or any<br>part of an existing metering<br>installation, the <b>newly appointed</b><br>MP undertaking work must ensure   |  |

|      |   | that:"   |  |
|------|---|--|--|
| 12.1 | Network Devices                                 | In regards to load control, clause<br>12.1.1 does not adequately describe<br>a network device, as per rule 7.8.6 of<br>the NER.  |  |
|      |   | Suggest addition to 12.1.2(b)(i) - ",<br>such as the control of a hot water <u>via</u><br><u>load control relays</u> , and that service<br>is obsolete as a result of the Meter<br>Churn <u>and no longer required by the</u><br><u>retail customer</u> ; or".                       |  |
|      |   | 12.1.3 & 12.1.4<br>Require details around the<br>timeframes for notifications and  |  |
|      |   | responses, particularly where they relate to Meter Churn.  |  |
| 14.1 | Criteria for determining<br>Emergency Condition | Ergon Energy does not consider the<br>first point to be an accurate<br>reflection of an 'emergency<br>condition' and on this basis we<br>recommend it should be amended to<br>reflect unplanned / unforeseen<br>interruptions only, and not applied<br>to planned interruptions that |  |
|      |   | otherwise would be captured by NECF notification procedures.   |  |

| Suggest:   |
|--|
| "Unplanned interruption to the<br>general power supply to one or<br>more sites, regardless of duration"  |
| Ergon Energy also suggests AEMO<br>provide acknowledgement of the<br>operational difficulties that<br>emergency conditions will bring to<br>the market under the proposed<br>market arrangements regarding<br>customer impacts for re-energisation<br>after such events (e.g. flood,<br>cyclones etc). |
| Finally, we Suggest another criteria<br>as follows:  |
| LNSP to stipulate / agree on the<br>restoration process with the MC<br>regarding restoration and customer<br>re-energisation after an emergency<br>event including replacement and<br>repair of affected metering<br>installations.  |

# 4. Metrology Procedure: Part B

| Clause | Clause Heading              | Participant Comments   |                   |                             |
|--------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Clause |                             | Metering Competition   | Embedded Networks | Meter Replacement Processes |
| 2.4.   | Metering data quality flags | Section 2.4 references<br>sections that no longer exist -<br>sections 3.4.3(b) and 3.4.3(h).           |                   |                             |
| 3.2.   | Substitution Rules          | It is not clear for the purposes<br>of this clause how a FRMP can<br>be a market generator or<br>MSGA. |                   |                             |

## 5. MSATS Procedures: CATS Procedure Principles and Obligations

| Clause | tteeda -        | Participant Comments   |                   |                             |
|--------|-----------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Clause | Heading         | Metering Competition   | Embedded Networks | Meter Replacement Processes |
| 4.7    | OBJECTION CODES | The "badmeter" objection<br>code has been removed.<br>Further information is<br>required as to the reason for<br>its removal, as it is not clear<br>why this has occurred. |                   |                             |

#### 6. MSATS Procedures: MDM Procedures

No comment.

## 7. MSATS Procedures: Procedure for the Management of Wholesale, Interconnector, Generator and Sample (WIGS) NMIs

|        |                 | Participant Comments  |                   |                             |
|--------|-----------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Clause | Heading         | Metering Competition  | Embedded Networks | Meter Replacement Processes |
| 2.7.   | Objection Rules | The "badmeter" objection code has been removed.   |                   |                             |
|        |                 | Further information is required as<br>to the reason for its removal, as it<br>is not clear why this has occurred. |                   |                             |

### 8. NEM RoLR Process Part A and B – MSATS Procedure: RoLR Procedures

No comment.

## 9. NMI Standing Data Schedule

No comment.

## **10.** Service Level Procedures for MDP

No comment

### **11.** Service Level Procedures for MP

| Clause | Heading    | Participant Comments  |                   |                             |
|--------|------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|        |            | Metering Competition  | Embedded Networks | Meter Replacement Processes |
| 3.1    | Purchasing | MPs may or may not purchase<br>meters, instrument<br>transformers etc. and these<br>can be purchased and<br>provided by others.<br>Consequently, the<br>requirement that the MP <u>must</u><br>have processes introduces an |                   |                             |

|     |                                       | unnecessary constraint.  |   |  |
|-----|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 3.2 | Storage, handling and transport       | MPs may not store, handle or<br>transport meters or<br>instrument transformers and<br>the requirement that they<br><u>must</u> have processes<br>introduces an unnecessary<br>constraint.  |   |  |
| 3.3 | Management of test<br>equipment       | 3.3(c)<br>Ergon Energy seeks<br>confirmation from AEMO on<br>whether the provision of test<br>equipment certificates that<br>show full traceability to test<br>certificates to NATA<br>accredited laboratory meet<br>the requirements of this<br>clause? |   |  |
| 4.1 | General commissioning<br>requirements | <ul> <li>4.1 (h) Ergon Energy requests clarification of the term "designated load"</li> <li>We consider a designated load to be a 'known' or 'measured' load which could be customer load.</li> <li>As such, we suggest inclusion</li> </ul>             | 1 |  |

|     |             | of the bold term below:                              |
|-----|-------------|--|
|     |             | " where the metering                                 |
|     |             | installation includes                                |
|     |             | instrument transformers,                             |
|     |             | register reads are validated by                      |
|     |             | use of a <b>measured or</b>                          |
|     |             | designated load being placed                         |
|     |             | on load side of the metering                         |
|     |             | installation"  |
|     |             | Ergon Energy questions the                           |
|     |             | driver for clauses 4.4 (a) and                       |
|     |             | (b) to revolve around the                            |
|     |             | inclusion of instrument                              |
|     |             | transformers? Ergon Energy                           |
|     |             | believes they would apply                            |
|     |             | more practically if the driver                       |
|     |             | was the remote acquisition of                        |
|     |             | metering data.                                       |
|     |             | Ergon Energy seeks                                   |
|     |             | confirmation on why clause                           |
|     |             | 4.4 (a) explicitly applies to                        |
|     |             | remotely read sites <u>with</u>                      |
|     |             | instrument transformers?                             |
|     |             | Specifically, Ergon Energy                           |
|     |             | understands the clause applies                       |
|     |             | to all sites that are remotely                       |
| 4.4 | Meter Churn | interrogated, not just those<br>that have instrument |
|     |             |  |

|     |           | transformers.                    |  |
|-----|-----------|----------------------------------|--|
|     |           | As such we suggest that clause   |  |
|     |           | 4.4(a) be amended as follows:    |  |
|     |           | "where the metering              |  |
|     |           | installation has remote          |  |
|     |           | acquisition of metering data     |  |
|     |           | and includes instrument-         |  |
|     |           | transformers, the MP must:"      |  |
|     |           | Similarly, for the reasons cited |  |
|     |           | above, we suggest that clause    |  |
|     |           | 4.4(b) also be amended as        |  |
|     |           | follows:                         |  |
|     |           | "where the metering              |  |
|     |           | installation does not have       |  |
|     |           | instrument transformers          |  |
|     |           | remote acquisition of            |  |
|     |           | metering data, the MP must       |  |
|     |           | 5.1(a) second (a) in clause –    |  |
|     |           | there is a spelling mistake of   |  |
| 5.1 | Test Plan | the word "installations"         |  |

# 12. Other Issues Related to Consultation Subject Matter

No further comments.