

B2B Procedures Version 2.2

Draft Determination Participant Response Pack

Participant: AGL

Completion Date: 8TH October 2014.

9. Participant Responses

This section lists the changes proposed to the B2B Procedures: Version 2.1

Proposed changes have been categorised as Procedure changes as follows;

- Table 9.1 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process.
- Table 9.2 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.
- Table 9.3 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Meter Data Process.
- Table 9.4 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.
- Table 9.5 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures.
- Table 9.6 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification.

9.1 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		
8.1.1	721	AGL is supportive of the proposed changes		L	
8.1.1	721	AGL is supportive of the proposed changes		L	

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.2 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		
8.2.1	721	AGL is supportive of the proposed changes		L	

L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
 M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
 H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L³)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		
8.3.1	721	AGL is supportive of the proposed changes		L	
8.3.2	721	AGL is supportive of the proposed changes		L	
8.3.3	721	AGL is supportive of the proposed changes		L	
8.3.4	721	AGL is not supportive of the wording proposed under 3.2.3 a. 'prior to the completion of' AGL provided feedback as an MSWG member which included the recommendation for the wording to be changed to enable a PMD to be initiated for Type 1-4 meters on the 4 th business day rather than following the completion of the fourth business day (fifth business day). The proposed wording is providing an extra day, we don't believe this was the intention to do this as the	 1.1.1 Timing Requirements for ProvideMeterDataRequest. a. A Participant must not issue a ProvideMeterDataRequest relating to a scheduled reading event until: prior to the completion of: a. Four Business Days following the read event for type 1, 2, 3 and 4 Metering Installations; 	Н	

³ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ³)	AEMO Response
		detail in other material discussed by MSWG members appears to have equalled on the 4 th business day. This also applies b. In addition, in our opinion changing the wording to 'until' appears more appropriate to align with clause 2.9e A participant must not send a ProvideMeterDataRequest until the regulated period (refer 3.2.2.a) for the delivery of MDFF Data has expired.	b. Six Business Days following the published Next Scheduled Read Date for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations; and c. The seventh Business Day of the calendar month for the previous month's MDFF Data, for type 7 Metering Installations. b. A participant must not issue a ProvideMeterDataRequest, relating to a ServiceOrderRequest for type 5 and 6 Metering Installations until prior to the completion of four Business days following the receipt of the completed ServiceOrderResponse.		
8.4.1	721	AGL is supportive of the proposed changes		L	

9.4 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁴)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		
8.4.1	001	AGL is supportive of the proposed changes		L	

⁴ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.5 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁵)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert Red strikeout means delete		
8.5.1	721	AGL is supportive of the proposed changes	neu strikeout means delete	L	

⁵ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.6 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised B2B Procedures text	Rating (H/M/L ⁶)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		
8.6.1	721	AGL is supportive of the proposed changes		L	

⁶ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.