

B2B Procedures Version 2.1 Initial Consultation Participant Response Pack

Participant: United Energy

Completion Date: 23 December 2013

9. Participant Responses

This section lists the changes proposed to the B2B Procedures: Version 2.0.

Proposed changes have been categorised as Procedure changes as follows;

- Table 9.1 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process.
- Table 9.2 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.
- Table 9.3 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Meter Data Process.
- Table 9.4 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.
- Table 9.5 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures.
- Table 9.6 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification.

9.1 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process

Please complete the relevant columns below in order to record your response. If you have no comments on this document please note this as a general comment in the table.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised MSATS text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			Blue underlinemeans insert Red strikeout means delete		
9.1.3	002	Current clause has May and November. UE support the move to quarterly and suggest specifying the quarters. Rather than March, June, September and December which may create a workload for the reconciliation process in January when resource levels may be lower, we suggest the reconciliations be processed during the months of February, May, August, November or more frequently as agreed. UE would like to understand the timing of the reconciliation processes by having some time commitments eg months or by having an obligation on the other party to provide a months warning of the reconciliation process.	f. The Timing Requirements for the use of the CustomerDetailsReconciliation transaction and its Business Signals will be initiated and processed during the months of May and November of each year processed during the months of February, May, August, November at least quarterly or more frequently, as agreed between the Participants using the Transaction. should further CustomerDetailsReconciliation be required.		

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

_