B2B Procedures Version 2.1

Initial Consultation Participant Response Pack

Participant: United Energy

Completion Date: 10 February 2014

9. Participant Responses

This section lists the changes proposed to the B2B Procedures: Version 2.0.

Proposed changes have been categorised as Procedure changes as follows;

- Table 9.1 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process.
- Table 9.2 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process.
- Table 9.3 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Meter Data Process.
- Table 9.4 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process.
- Table 9.5 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guideline for B2B Procedures.
- Table 9.6 covers the proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification.

9.1 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Customer and Site Details Notification Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		
8.1.3		UE is supportive of the quarters to be specified in the drafting of clause 2.2.5 but continues to support the quarters being Feb, May, August and Nov as opposed to Jan, April, July and Oct. UE is concerned that a retailer(s) may commence the reconciliation process on the 1st or 2nd of Jan and the DB would then need to respond 2 business days later. UE note that Ausgrid also proposed the Feb, May, August and Nov quarters ie taking the work out of times when the business may have limited resources to respond. The BMRG provided no indication that commencing the quarterly reconciliations in Feb vs Jan would be unacceptable. UE suggest the drafting be amended to Feb, May, August and Nov in clause 2.2.5.			

¹ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised text	Rating (H/M/L ¹)	AEMO Response

9.2 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Service Order Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised text	Rating (H/M/L ²)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		

L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions
 M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical.
 H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.3 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Meter Data Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised text	Rating (H/M/L³)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		

³ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.4 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure One Way Notification Process

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised text	Rating (H/M/L ⁴)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		

⁴ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.5 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Guidelines for B2B Procedures

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised text	Rating (H/M/L ⁵)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		

⁵ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.

9.6 Proposed changes to the B2B Procedure Technical Delivery Specification

Item	ID	Clause/Issue/Comment	Proposed revised text	Rating (H/M/L ⁶)	AEMO Response
			Blue underline means insert		
			Red strikeout means delete		

⁶ L= Low: Not critical. Issues / comments are minor. They add clarity to the document. No major concern if not included in any further revisions M= Medium: Important. Strong case that issue / comments should be considered and an update to the document is desirable, but not critical. H= High: Critical. The issues / comments are fundamental and failure to make necessary changes has the potential to impact consensus.